1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 JOHN LITTLE. 11 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C07-1341BHS 12 v. ORDER ADOPTING REPORT 13 PAT PENDRY, et al., AND RECOMMENDATION. DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S 14 Defendants. AINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 15 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 16 This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of U.S. 17 Magistrate Judge Mary Alice Theiler (Dkt. 78) and Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive 18 Relief (Dkt. 79). The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of and in 19 opposition to the motions, the Report and Recommendation, and the remainder of the file 20 and hereby adopts Judge Theiler's Report and Recommendation and denies Plaintiff's 21 motion for the reasons stated herein. 22 First, Judge Theiler recommends that the Court grant Defendants' Motion for 23 Summary Judgement (Dkt. 52), deny Plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment 24 (Dkt. 72), and dismiss Plaintiff's complaint. *Id.* Plaintiff did not file objections to the 25 Report and Recommendation and has failed to show that there is clear error on the face of 26 the record. Therefore, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation. 27 28

1

Second, Plaintiff requests injunctive relief regarding his legal materials generated from this, as well as other actions. Dkt. 79. Plaintiff claims that he is soon to be released from confinement. Id. at 1; see also Dkt. 85 (Plaintiff released from confinement and mail returned undeliverable). Plaintiff also claims that he has accumulated over seven thousand pages of legal documents. Dkt. 79. at 1-2. Plaintiff requests that the Snohomish County Jail store Plaintiff's documents until "arrangements can be made for the transportation of the documents." *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff has provided no authority for the proposition that Snohomish County Jail should operate as a storage facility, and the Court denies Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief.

Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that

- (1) The Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 78);
- Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 52) is **GRANTED**, (2) Plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 72) and motion for injunctive relief (Dkt. 65) are **DENIED**, and this action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice;
- For purposes of 28 U.S.C. 1915(g), this action is dismissed on the ground (3) that it is frivolous; and
- **(4)** Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (Dkt. 81) is **DENIED**. DATED this 22nd day of August, 2008.

United States District Judge